UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/531,259                | 04/24/2006                   | Gisela Meister       | MEIS3002/JJC/BEL    | 4669             |  |
| 23364<br>BACON & THO      | 7590 04/11/201<br>OMAS, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 625 SLATERS<br>FOURTH FLO | LANE                         |                      | DOAN, TRANG T       |                  |  |
|                           | A, VA 22314-1176             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                           |                              |                      | 2431                |                  |  |
|                           |                              |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                           |                              |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                           |                              |                      | 04/11/2011          | PAPER            |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. 10/531,259 |            | Applicant(s)   |  |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------|--|
|                            |            | MEISTER ET AL. |  |
|                            | Examiner   | Art Unit       |  |
|                            | TRANG DOAN | 2431           |  |

|   | THANG DOAN   | 2431   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavital (with appeal fee) in compliance   | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; o | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection  | on.                                      |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of   | ).   |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external and the state of | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the Notice of Appeal has been filed.  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the   |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   | ·  | · · /  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>  | sideration and/or search (see NOT  |  | cause                                    |
| <ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | er form for appeal by materially rec   | ducing or simplifying th   | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |
| <u> </u>  | 1 Can attached Nation of Non Co.   | mpliant Amandmant (  | DTOL 204)                                |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>   |  | mpilant Americinent (  | PTOL-324).                               |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).  |  | timely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  | l be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fail:<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                        | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach  | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan  | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
| /NIATITANT FLYNINI/   |  |  |  |
| /NATHAN FLYNN/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2468  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 3/30/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on pages 3-4 of the Remarks that Wheeler does not disclose attaching the quality information to the result of the security-establishing operation, wherein the difference in quality of the user authentication methods varies between an inherently relatively lower quality and an inherently relatively higher quality from a security perspective. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's arguments. Wheeler does disclose attaching the quality information to the result of the security-establishing operation (Wheeler: paragaraphs 0145 and 0149), wherein the difference in quality of the user authentication methods varies between an inherently relatively lower quality and an inherently relatively higher quality from a security perspective (Wheeler: paragaraphs 0339: low security...higher security and 0378-0379: different levels of security that are associated with each authentication method).

Examiner notes, Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.